المؤامر السنوي الدولي للدمعية الرمدية المصرية INTERNATIONAL CONGRESS OF THE EGYPTIAN OPHTHALMOLOGICAL SOCIETY E0052023

Snatching: A Modified Cosmetic Technique in Pterygium Surgery without using Scalpel

Noha Soliman,^{1,2,3,4} Ahmed Nour^{4,5}

¹NIHR Moorfields Biomedical Research Centre, London, United Kingdom
²Institute of Ophthalmology, University College London, London, United Kingdom
³Moorfields Eye Hospital NHS Foundation Trust, London, United Kingdom
⁴Alpha Vision Centre, Zagazig, Egypt
⁵Faculty of Medicine, Zagazig University, Zagazig, Egypt



Disclosure

• No financial / conflict of interest disclosures







Introduction

- Surgical removal is the single successful treatment with cosmesis attributed as the indication for pterygium surgery in 41.7% of the cases.
- Depending on the technique used, the risk of recurrence, however, has been documented to be as high as 89%.
- Currently, there are not a single surgical intervention that is recurrence free.
- Consequently, there is a need to acquire new treatment modalities.

Purpose

- Evaluate the cosmetic surgical outcomes of primary corneal pterygia excision using the avulsion (snatching) technique.
- Analyse the effectiveness, safety, and applicability of our avulsion technique towards better excellent cosmetic appearance.



E052023

E052023

Methods

- Twenty-five eyes of 25 patients with primary pterygium.
- F/U for at least 6 months.
- In all patients, snatching (modified avulsion) technique was utilised to avulse the pterygium head off the corneal surface and remove any residual fibres by Colibri without need for keratectomy with a scalpel.
- Postoperative cosmetic outcomes and discomfort level illustrated in Table 1 and 2 by the patients using a subjective scoring system.
- The scoring systems utilised via the ocular surgeon illustrated in Table 3 and 4 to evaluate the surgical outcomes.

Examination Findings of the Postoperative Cornea Score Examination Findings of the Postoperative Cornea 1 Cannot tell which eye had surgery Grade 1 No Discontiont 2 Can tell which eye had surgery but looks untouched Grade 2 Some Discontiont 3 Operated eye looks red but acceptable Grade 3 Unconductable			1906-11	Postoperative Subjective Grading of Discomfort Day One.[3]
1 Cannot tell which eye had surgery Grade 1 No Discondist 2 Can tell which eye had surgery but looks untoached Grade 2 Some Discondist 3 Operated eye looks red but acceptable Grade 3 Unconductable	sre	Examination Findings of the Postoperative Cornea	Score	Examination Findings of the Postoperative Cernea
Can tell which eye had surgery but looks untouched Grade 2 Some Discernifiet Operated eye looks red but acceptable Grade 3 Unconductable	ade 1	Cannot tell which eye had surgery	Grade 1	No Discondart
3 Operated eye looks red but acceptable Grade 3 Uncomfortable	ade 2	Can tell which eye had surgery but looks untouched	Grade 2	Some Disconfort
	ade 3	Operated eye looks red but acceptable	Grade 3	Unconduitable
4 Operated eye looks beefy red and unacceptable	ade 4	Operated eye looks beefy red and unacceptable		

	superative surg	geon s	scoring System
Table 3 Grading of th	e Postoperative Site on the Basis of External and Sitt Lamp Examination.[3]		
Score	Examination Findings (Postoperative Site)		
Grade I (pearly white)	Better than normal appearance of operative site	Table 4 Postoper	rative Grading of the Cernes on the Book of Sit Lamp Examination.[3]
Grade 2	Normal appearance of the eye and sciers area	Score	Score Examination Findings of the Postoperative Corners
Grade 3	Presence of fine episcleral vessels in the excised area	Grade 1 Grade 2	Char Mild Kenstin
Grade 4	Episcleral vessels reaching the limbus	Grade 3	Clouding at Surgical Site
Grade 5	Fibrovascular tissue in the excised area reaching the limbus	Grade-4	Landar Fill
Grade 6	Fibrovascular tissue invading the comea		
Grade 7	Worse than pre-operative appearance		
	Grade 7 + Sumblashama and participing are mousements		

Pterygium Excision

- The surgery was performed under peribulbar anaesthesia. Intralesional 2% lidocaine with epinephrine was further utilised for anaesthesia as well as to help in delineating the degree of pterygium.
- Snatching technique using a Gulani Pterygium cross-action spreader was utilised to dissect the pterygium head off the cornea in a solo radial movement.
- Dissection resumed till we approached the sclera to dissect the pterygium body from the conjunctiva above and the episcleral below. The whole pterygium was detached as one mass with its fan-like growth at the fornix.
- A Colibri forceps is then used to remove all the residual remnants off the cornea by pulling them a lamellar peeling fashion till the cornea surface is smoothened.
- A Weck cell sponge pieces saturated in 0.04% MMC were sited at the shortened tissue beneath the conjunctival edge for 2 minutes, whilst shielding the residual sclera from contact to MMC by a dry Weck-cell. Following the removal of MMC sponges, the normal saline was used to flush the area.

Snap-Video of the Operation (To be Inserted)

Hello,

I have sent few messages to the organization committee, but I have not received any replies. I am having a slight issue editing the operation surgery. I will ask for your understating to give me the chance the submit the correct PPT version later on. Meanwhile, I have no other option but to submit this PPT version.

Many thanks,

Noha Soliman

Post-operative Care

• Postoperative management included antibiotic drops, steroids, and lubricants drops five times daily for one week. The patients were planned for follow-up visits postoperatively at 1 week, 1 month, and 6 months.



E05202

Results

- · There were no intra-operative complications encountered in any of the surgeries.
- Week 1 post-op (Subjective Scoring System):
 - 8 patients (32%) reported they can tell which is the operated eye but looked untouched (Grade 2, Table 1)
 - While 17 patients (68%) reported that the operated eye looked red but is accepted (Grade 3, Table 1)
 - 20 patients (80%) reported some discomfort (Grade 2, Table 2)
 - The remaining 5 patients (20%) reported no discomfort (Grade 1, Table 2)
- Week 1 post-op (Surgeons Scoring System):
 - Normal operative area (Grade 1, Table 3) for whole patients (100%)
 - Corneal examination: clear cornea (Grade 1, Table 4) in 18 eyes (72%), 6 eyes (24%) had mild keratitis (Grade 2, Table 4), and 1 eye (4%) had clouding at the surgical site (Grade 3, Table 4)

Results

- Six months post-op (Subjective Scoring System):
 - All the patients (100%) reported they cannot state which eye had the operation (Grade 1, Table 1)
 - None (0%) of them reported discomfort (Grade 1, Table 2).
- Six months post-op (Surgeons Scoring System):
 - Normal operative area (Grade 1, Table 3) for entire patients (100%).
 - Examination reported a clear cornea (Grade 1, Table 4) in 24 eyes (96%) and 1 eye (4%) had mild keratitis (Grade 2, Table 4) on 6 months postoperatively.
- At 6 months follow up period, all the patients remained fully satisfied with the aesthetic appearance of the cornea and the overall look of the operated eye with only one case showed early signs of recurrence (4%).

E0\$2023



Conclusion

• Snatching (modified avulsion) technique was found to be effective, safe, and presents decent aesthetic appearance for the management of primary corneal pterygia when safety points are firmly followed.



E05202

References

- Gulani, A.C. and A.A. Gulani, Cosmetic Pterygium Surgery: Techniques and Long-Term Outcomes. Clin Ophthalmol, 2020. 14: p. 1681-1687.
- Graue-Hernandez, E.O., et al., Practice patterns in the management of primary pterygium: a survey study. Cornea, 2019. 38(11): p. 1339-1344.
- Nuzzi, R. and F. Tridico, How to minimize pterygium recurrence rates: clinical perspectives. Clinical Ophthalmology (Auckland, NZ), 2018. 12: p. 2347.
- Hovanesian, J.A., et al., Surgical techniques and adjuvants for the management of primary and recurrent pterygia. Journal of Cataract & Refractive Surgery, 2017. 43(3): p. 405-419.

